

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,237	SLATTERY, KEVIN T.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason L. Savage	1775	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) Jason L. Savage.

(3) _____.

 (2) Nicholas Gallo.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5-16-06
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 16, 26 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed that claim 29 was allowable over the prior art. Applicant suggested adding limitations from claim 29 into independent claim 16. Also proposed adding limitations from previously indicated allowable claim 28 into independent claim 26. Suggested claim 36 be canceled as being drawn to a non-elected invention due to election by original presentation. Examiner was given permission to make all of the agreed upon changes by Examiner's Amendment..